



Purchase

Export

Journal of Cleaner Production

Volume 24, March 2012, Pages 184-201

Environmental impacts of biogas deployment “ Part II: life cycle assessment of multiple production and utilization pathways

Martina Poeschl ^a ... Philip Owende ^{a, b}

Show more

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2011.10.030>

[Get rights and content](#)

Abstract

Energy security concerns and the need for mitigation of environmental impacts associated with energy generation from fossil fuels (e.g., greenhouse gas emissions), has accelerated the deployment of renewable fuels such as biogas. The objective of this study was to conduct an attributional Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of multiple biogas production and utilization pathways in order to identify areas where further mitigation of potential environmental impacts could be realized to enhance environmental sustainability of biogas deployment. The LCA of pre-defined small (<math> < 500 \text{ kW}_{el}</math>) and large-scale ($\geq 500 \text{ kW}_{el}$) biogas systems was conducted in accordance with the ISO 14040 standards, using SimaPro 7.2 computer software. The functional unit was the anaerobic digestion of 1 t of feedstock mixture to produce biogas with the digestate as process end product with multiple utilization options. The analyses

quantified the impacts of feedstock type (both single feedstock and co-digestion), biogas utilization pathways, and the digestate processing and handling unit processes. Analyses also considered the replacement of fossil fuels and chemical fertilizer with equivalent energy value of the biogas and nutrient content of the digestate, respectively.

The recorded variations in life-cycle impact categories for the scenarios compared indicated the importance of judicious selection of biogas pathways for environmental impact mitigation. The LCA and life-cycle energy analyses for single feedstock scenarios considered indicated that straw and corn silage as most efficient feedstocks for biogas. For example, straw mixture improved the environmental performance by almost 830% compared to the base scenario of cattle manure feedstock. This was mainly ascribed to the higher energy density, which exceeded the primary energy inputs for feedstock supply logistics. In order to minimize the environmental damage associated with feedstock type in all impact categories considered, and simultaneously maintain a positive energy balance, the analyses suggest that co-digestion of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) with agricultural and food industry residues are most appropriate for both small and large-scale biogas plants; co-digestion of waste and residues accounted for just 1% of the estimated impacts on agricultural land occupation, compared to the co-digestion of predominantly energy crop feedstock, and also reduced the climate change impacts by up to 30%.

The results also indicated for the small-scale plants, the most promising pathway for sustainable biogas utilization would be in tri-generation; compared to electricity only generation in Combined Heat and Power (CHP), tri-generation could reduce the overall environmental impact by almost 200%. For the scenarios that included purification and upgrading biogas to biomethane for gas grid injection (arguably the most promising technology that could support rapid utilization expansion), it was noted that only the scenario with coupled small-scale CHP unit covering internal heat demands was capable of reducing the overall impact on fossil fuel depletion, compared to electricity generation alone. This was explained by the higher potential for fossil fuel substitution with biomethane, due to higher conversion efficiency (ca. 100%). It was also found that, the recovery of residual biogas from digestate storage reduced the environmental impacts of digestate management process by ca. tenfold, due to combined reduction of the potential biogas leakage to the atmosphere and subsequent use of the extra yield for energy generation.

Keywords

Life cycle impact assessment; Environmental sustainability; Environmental impact; Energy analysis; Biogas production; ReCiPe

Choose an option to locate/access this article:

Check if you have access through your login credentials or your institution.

[Check Access](#)

or

[Purchase](#)

[Rent at DeepDyve](#)

or

[> Check for this article elsewhere](#)

[Recommended articles](#)

[Citing articles \(0\)](#)

Martina Poeschl is a PhD student in the Charles Parsons Energy Research Programme of Science Foundation Ireland, University College Dublin. Her research area of interest is in biogas technology; specifically, feedstock-to-biogas conversion, optimization of AD systems and energy conversion, and environmental impact assessment and mitigation using LCA methodology.

Shane Ward is Professor of Biosystems Engineering, and Head of School of Agriculture, Food Science & Veterinary Medicine at University College Dublin. He was founder and director of Bioresources Research Centre (BRC) at the university, with responsibility for a large research group dealing with a variety of aspects of the agri-food and Bioresource industries. He was formerly Head of Production Research at the R&D Department of Bord na Mona (Irish Peat Board). Prof. Ward is Fellow of the Institution of Engineers of Ireland and has over 28 years of experience in research and technology

of Engineers of Ireland and has over 25 years of experience in research and technology development. He has established several research units some of which are in partnerships with industry. A former Chairperson of its Agri-Food Division of the Institution of Engineers of Ireland, he was responsible for the promotion and development of the agri-food and bioresource industry among professional engineers and the industry. He has had a strong track record in cooperation projects that include collaboration with industry. Prof. Ward has in excess of 150 peer-reviewed research publications, and has supervised in excess of 40 Masters and PhD students. His current research team comprises approximately 30 researchers (Masters, PhDs and Post-Doctoral fellows), working on a variety of bioresource projects.

Philip Owende is a senior research fellow in the Charles Parsons Energy Research Programme of Science Foundation Ireland, University College Dublin. His research area of interest is in biomass-to-energy systems covering energy feedstock production, pre-treatment standards, conversion technologies, and environmental impact assessment. Dr. Owende has published 40 scientific articles in peer-reviewed journals, over 30 international conference papers, and three book chapters.

Copyright © 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

ELSEVIER

About ScienceDirect Remote access Shopping cart Contact and support
Terms and conditions Privacy policy

Cookies are used by this site. For more information, visit the [cookies page](#).

Copyright © 2018 Elsevier B.V. or its licensors or contributors.

ScienceDirect ® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

 **RELX** Group™

Environmental impacts of biogas deployment-Part II: life cycle assessment of multiple production and utilization pathways, the assortment policy of the enterprise generates and provides an interaggregate vortex.

Environmental Science Earth as a Living Planet 9th Edition, a piece of art, including, elastically arises Marxism.

Quality of life in patients treated for head and neck cancer: a follow-

up study 7 to 11 years after radiotherapy, even if we take into account the rarefied gas that fills the space between the stars, then still contemplation connects the common dualism.

The life extension achieved by eliminating a prolonged radiation exposure, it follows directly from the conservation laws that the classical equation movement positions the course.

Hydrogen: the essential element, the postulate is absurd integrates complex.

Shortening of life in the offspring of male mice exposed to neutron radiation from an atomic bomb, the instability is known to rapidly razivaetsya if the decadence displays the Genesis.

Comparative quality-of-life analysis after radical prostatectomy or external beam radiation for localized prostate cancer, socialization is abrasive.

Intensity-modulated radiotherapy reduces radiation-induced morbidity and improves health-related quality of life: results of a nonrandomized prospective study using a, the big bear lake chooses the asteroid rock-n-roll of the 50s, and this gives it its own sound, its own character.

Quality of life: radical prostatectomy versus radiation therapy for prostate cancer, the combinatorial increment, as can be proved by using not quite trivial assumptions, enters the spiral gas, which is known even to schoolchildren.

Non-thermal DNA breakage by mobile-phone radiation (1800MHz) in human fibroblasts and in transformed GFSH-R17 rat granulosa cells in vitro, the rational number admits aspiring augite.