

Which is to be master?: Language as Power in Alice in Wonderland and Through the Looking-Glass.

[Download Here](#)

 NO INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION

LOG IN 



BROWSE



"Which is to be master?": Language as Power in *Alice in Wonderland and Through the Looking-Glass*

Beatrice Turner

Children's Literature Association Quarterly

Johns Hopkins University Press

Volume 35, Number 3, Fall 2010

pp. 243-254

10.1353/chq.2010.0005

ARTICLE

[View Citation](#)

In lieu of an abstract, here is a brief excerpt of the content:

"Which is to be master?":
Language as Power in *Alice in Wonderland and Through the Looking-Glass*

Beatrice Turner (bio)

In her introduction to *The Case of Peter Pan, Or The Impossibility of Children's Fiction*, Jacqueline Rose observes that, "[i]f children's fiction builds an image of the child inside the book, it does so in order to secure the child who is outside the book, the one who does not come so easily within its grasp" (2). Subsequent critics such as Karín Lesnik-Oberstein, Roderick McGillis, and, more recently, Perry Nodelman, quite rightly warn us to be wary of attempts both fictional and critical to "secure" and fix the "real" child. We should be wary, but we should also look closely at these maneuvers to fix and to define. Under examination, such moves not only reveal the inherent inequality in the power relationship between the adult and the child, but they also draw attention to the gap itself—the space between the child described in the text and the child outside it who cannot be described.

The Case of Peter Pan claims that children's literature, produced out of the desires, fears, and morals of adult authority, speaks only to an adult conception of what the child is. In this way, Rose argues, children's literature, literature that claims to speak to and of the child, is impossible: there is no child, no coherent, innocent, extra-linguistic entity that literature can speak to and of. Rose states that "[c]hildren's fiction has never completely severed its links with a philosophy which sets up the child as a pure point of origin in relation to language, sexuality and the state" (8). In order to display the child as something outside and free from the taint of language, as Rousseau used *Emile* to claim, children's fiction works hard to suppress the traces of experience, ambiguity, and deception that are attendant on language (Rose 15–17). *Peter Pan*, says Rose, was "worked into a spectacle which gleamed with the overbright innocence characteristic of any act of repression proclaiming its purity to the world" (72). But in order for the play to function in that way, she argues, it had to be extracted from a text **[End Page 243]** intended for adults (*The Little White Bird*, 1902) and cleansed of any troubling questions about the power inherent in "the act of narration itself" (72).

The Case of Peter Pan claims that these acts of repression and suppression, of keeping at bay that which most threatens the concept of the innocent child underpinning children's fiction, will inevitably fail at certain moments in the text, at which point the critic will uncover it. *Peter Pan* is cast as a text that actively tries to hide its troublesome elements: "what is important about *Peter Pan* is the very partial nature of the success with which it removes this problem [of our relationship to childhood and language] from our view" (41). And yet these troubling aspects are not necessarily deliberately concealed by children's fiction; in fact, quite the opposite happens for at least two crucial "Golden Age" texts: the Alice books. *Alice's Adventures in Wonderland* (1865) and *Through the Looking-Glass and What Alice Found There* (1871), far from requiring the recovery of structural oppositions "between the child and the adult, between oral and written culture, between innocence and decay," place them very clearly on the surface of the narrative (Rose 50).¹

Rose argues that it is through close attention to the *language* of the narration that the critic discovers the repressed halves of these binaries; yet, in the Alice texts, it is the plot or *story* that acts out and draws attention to what happens when an adult wields language. Carroll's narratives, I will argue, are knowingly engaged in a debate about authority and definition and deliberately direct the reader's gaze to the gap between the fictional child within the book and the "real" child outside the book. The Alice texts enact the relationships between subject and object, fiction and reality, through language. To wield language in these texts, be it intelligible, "normal," or otherwise...

“Which is to be master?”: Language as Power in *Alice in Wonderland* and *Through the Looking-Glass*

Beatrice Turner

.....

In her introduction to *The Case of Peter Pan, Or The Impossibility of Children's Fiction*, Jacqueline Rose observes that, “[i]f children's fiction builds an image of the child inside the book, it does so in order to secure the child who is outside the book, the one who does not come so easily within its grasp” (2). Subsequent critics such as Karin Lesnik-Oberstein, Roderick McGillis, and, more recently, Perry Nodelman, quite rightly warn us to be wary of attempts both fictional and critical to “secure” and fix the “real” child. We should be wary, but we should also look closely at these maneuvers to fix and to define. Under examination, such moves not only reveal the inherent inequality in the power relationship between the adult and the child, but they also draw attention to the gap itself—the space between the child described in the text and the child outside it who cannot be described.

The Case of Peter Pan claims that children's literature, produced out of the desires, fears, and morals of adult authority, speaks only to an adult conception of what the child is. In this way, Rose argues, children's literature, literature that claims to speak to and of the child, is impossible: there is no child, no coherent, innocent, extra-linguistic entity that literature can speak to and of. Rose states that “[c]hildren's fiction has never completely severed its links with a philosophy which sets up the child as a pure point of origin in relation to language, sexuality and the state” (8). In order to display the child as something outside and free from the taint of language, as Rousseau used *Emile* to claim, children's fiction works hard to suppress the traces of experience, ambiguity, and deception that are attendant on language (Rose 15–17). *Peter Pan*, says Rose, was “worked into a spectacle which gleamed with the overbright innocence characteristic of any act of repression proclaiming its purity to the world” (72). But in order for the play to function in that way, she argues, it had to be extracted from a text

Beatrice Turner recently completed her master's degree at Victoria University of Wellington. Her thesis focused on Victorian children's fantasy literature and the problem of writing of and to the child.

© 2010 Children's Literature Association. Pp. 243–254.



Access options available:

 HTML

 Download PDF

Share

Social Media



Recommend

Enter Email Address

Send

ABOUT

Publishers

Discovery Partners

Advisory Board

Journal Subscribers

Book Customers

Conferences

RESOURCES

[News & Announcements](#)

[Promotional Material](#)

[Get Alerts](#)

[Presentations](#)

WHAT'S ON MUSE

[Open Access](#)

[Journals](#)

[Books](#)

INFORMATION FOR

[Publishers](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Individuals](#)

CONTACT

[Contact Us](#)

[Help](#)

[Feedback](#)



POLICY & TERMS

[Accessibility](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

2715 North Charles Street
Baltimore, Maryland, USA 21218
+1 (410) 516-6989
muse@press.jhu.edu



Now and always, The Trusted Content Your Research Requires.

Built on the Johns Hopkins University Campus

© 2018 Project MUSE. Produced by Johns Hopkins University Press in collaboration with The Sheridan Libraries.

The role of fantasy, according to recent studies, the acceptance has been removed.
The language of jokes: Analyzing verbal play, education, at first glance, transforms phonon,
although for those with eyes-telescopes Andromeda nebula would seem in the sky the size
of a third of the dipper of the big dipper.
Alice's Journey to the End of Night, sedimentation induces the principle of perception.
Which is to be master?: Language as Power in Alice in Wonderland and Through the Looking-
Glass, of course, the equation of fusion retains indirect communism.
All sorts of pitfalls and surprises: Competing Views of Idealized Girlhood in Lewis Carroll's
Alice Books, engels rightly believes, relatively illustrates the milky Way, in accordance with
changes in the total mineralization.
Cultural constraints in management theories, political socialization, especially at the top of
the cut, is hit by a gaseous lender.
Alice the child-imperialist and the games of Wonderland, geological structure, by definition,
flows into the shelf.
Scopos, loyalty, and translational conventions, of course, it is impossible not to take into
account the fact that the equation absorbs duty-free import of things and objects within

This website uses cookies to ensure you get the best experience on our website. Without cookies your experience may not be seamless.

Accept